

Appendix 1

Adult Probation Statistics and Program Information

Table 1

**BRANCHES AND LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT DELIVERING
ADULT PROBATION SERVICES**

State	Executive Branch		Judicial Branch	
	State Level	Local Level	State Level	Local Level
Alabama	◆			
Alaska	◆			
Arizona				◆
Arkansas	◆			
California*		◆		◆
Colorado			◆	
Connecticut			◆	
Delaware	◆			
Florida	◆			
Georgia	◆			
Hawaii			◆	
Idaho	◆			
Illinois				◆
Indiana				◆
Iowa		◆		
Kansas			◆	
Kentucky	◆			
Louisiana	◆			
Maine	◆			
Maryland	◆			
Massachusetts			◆	
Michigan	◆			
Minnesota*	◆	◆		
Mississippi	◆			
Missouri	◆			
Montana	◆			
Nebraska			◆	
Nevada	◆			
New Hampshire	◆			
New Jersey			◆	
New Mexico	◆			
New York		◆		
North Carolina	◆			
North Dakota	◆			
Ohio*	◆			◆
Oklahoma	◆			
Oregon		◆		
Pennsylvania*	◆			◆
Rhode Island	◆			
South Carolina	◆			
South Dakota			◆	
Tennessee	◆			◆
Texas				
Utah	◆			
Vermont	◆			
Virginia	◆			
Washington	◆			
West Virginia				◆
Wisconsin	◆			
Wyoming	◆			

Appendix 1

Note: * indicates a system with multiple service delivery structures.

Source: Krauth, B., & Linke, L. (1999). *State organizational structures for delivering adult probation services*. Longmont, CO: NIC Information Center, National Institute of Corrections, U. S. Department of Justice.

Page 4

Table 2

TYPES OF CASES HANDLED BY ADULT PROBATION

State	Felonies Only	All Felony and Misdemeanor Cases	Felonies and Some Misdemeanor Cases
Alabama			◆
Alaska	◆		
Arizona	◆		
Arkansas			◆
California*		◆	
Colorado		◆	
Connecticut		◆	
Delaware		◆	
Florida		◆	
Georgia			◆
Hawaii		◆	
Idaho	◆		
Illinois		◆	
Indiana			◆
Iowa			◆
Kansas		◆	
Kentucky			
Louisiana			◆
Maine		◆	
Maryland		◆	
Massachusetts		◆	
Michigan	◆		
Minnesota*			◆
Mississippi	◆		
Missouri			◆
Montana			◆
Nebraska		◆	
Nevada			◆
New Hampshire		◆	
New Jersey		◆	
New Mexico	◆		
New York		◆	
North Carolina		◆	
North Dakota	◆		
Ohio*			◆
Oklahoma	◆		
Oregon		◆	
Pennsylvania*		◆	
Rhode Island		◆	
South Carolina			◆
South Dakota		◆	
Tennessee			◆
Texas		◆	
Utah			◆
Vermont		◆	
Virginia	◆		
Washington		◆	
West Virginia			◆
Wisconsin		◆	
Wyoming		◆	

Note: * indicates a system with multiple service delivery structures.

Appendix 1

Source: Krauth, B., & Linke, L. (1999). *State organizational structures for delivering adult probation services*. Longmont, CO: NIC Information Center, National Institute of Corrections, U. S. Department of Justice.
Page 4

Table 3

MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE OF ADULTS ON PROBATION, 1995

Most Serious Offense	Total	Felony	Misdemeanor
(Total Number of Probationers)	2,595,499	1,479,904	988,033
Violent Offenses	17.3%	19.5%	13.5%
Homicide	0.7	1.0	0.2
Sexual assault	3.6	5.6	0.4
Robbery	1.9	3.2	0.0
Assault	9.2	7.6	11.1
Other violent	2.0	2.1	1.7
Property Offenses	28.9%	36.6%	18.2%
Burglary	5.8	9.7	0.3
Larceny/theft	9.9	11.1	8.5
Motor vehicle theft	1.4	2.0	0.4
Fraud	7.2	9.6	4.2
Stolen property	1.7	2.3	0.9
Other property	2.7	1.9	3.8
Drug Offenses	21.4%	30.7%	7.8%
Possession	9.8	13.1	4.6
Trafficking	9.7	15.4	1.6
Other/unspecified	1.9	2.3	1.4
Public-Order Offenses	31.1%	12.1%	59.6%
Weapons	2.3	2.5	2.1
Obstruction of justice	2.2	1.3	3.3
Traffic	4.7	0.9	10.2
Driving while intoxicated	16.7	5.2	35.2
Drunkenness/morals	2.1	0.5	4.5
Other public order	3.0	1.7	4.3
Other	1.3%	1.0%	1.2%

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics. (1998). *Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics -- 1998*. Washington, DC: Bureau of Justice Statistics, U. S. Department of Justice. (Adapted from Table 6.8.)

Table 4

INTAKE AND INVESTIGATION SERVICES PROVIDED IN ADULT PROBATION

State	Presentence Investigations	Formal Classification System	Intake Services	Pretrial Investigations
Alabama	◆	◆		
Alaska	◆	◆		
Arizona	◆			◆
Arkansas	◆	◆	◆	◆
California	◆	◆	◆	◆
Colorado	◆	◆	◆	◆
Connecticut	◆	◆		◆
Delaware			◆	
Florida	◆	◆	◆	◆
Georgia	◆	◆		
Hawaii	◆	◆		
Idaho	◆	◆	◆	◆
Illinois	◆	◆	◆	◆
Indiana	◆	◆	◆	◆
Iowa	◆	◆		◆
Kansas	◆	◆	◆	◆
Kentucky	◆			
Louisiana	◆	◆	◆	
Maine	◆	◆	◆	
Maryland	◆	◆	◆	◆
Massachusetts	◆	◆	◆	◆
Michigan	◆	◆	◆	◆
Minnesota	◆	◆	◆	◆
Mississippi	◆		◆	◆
Missouri	◆	◆	◆	◆
Montana	◆			
Nebraska	◆		◆	
Nevada	◆	◆		
New Hampshire	◆	◆		◆
New Jersey		◆		◆
New Mexico	◆	◆		
New York	◆	◆	◆	◆
North Carolina	◆		◆	
North Dakota	◆	◆	◆	
Ohio	◆	◆		◆
Oklahoma	◆	◆	◆	
Oregon	◆	◆	◆	◆
Pennsylvania	◆	◆	◆	◆

Appendix 1

Rhode Island	◆			◆
South Carolina	◆	◆	◆	
South Dakota	◆	◆		◆
Tennessee	◆	◆		◆
Texas	◆	◆	◆	◆
Utah	◆	◆	◆	◆
Vermont				
Virginia	◆			
Washington	◆	◆	◆	◆
West Virginia	◆	◆	◆	◆
Wisconsin	◆	◆	◆	
Wyoming	◆	◆		

Note: An activity is indicated for a state if it was reported at all within the state's probation services. Inclusion may not mean that the activity is conducted throughout the state.

Source: American Correctional Association. (1998). Probation and Parole Directory 1998-2000. Lanham, MD: Author.

Table 5

OFFENDER SUPERVISION SERVICES PROVIDED IN ADULT PROBATION

	Intensive Supervision	Electronic Monitoring	Specialized Caseloads	Community Service	Supervised Home Release	Absconder Unit	Day Reporting
Alabama	◆	◆	◆	◆			
Alaska	◆		◆		◆		
Arizona	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Arkansas	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		
California	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		
Colorado	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		
Connecticut	◆	◆	◆	◆			
Delaware	◆	◆	◆	◆			
Florida	◆	◆	◆		◆		
Georgia	◆		◆	◆			
Hawaii	◆	◆	◆				
Idaho	◆	◆	◆		◆		
Illinois		◆	◆	◆	◆		◆
Indiana	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
Iowa	◆	◆	◆	◆			
Kansas	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Kentucky	◆		◆				
Louisiana	◆		◆	◆			
Maine	◆	◆			◆		
Maryland	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	
Massachusetts		◆	◆	◆		◆	
Michigan	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
Minnesota	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
Mississippi	◆	◆			◆		
Missouri	◆	◆		◆	◆		
Montana	◆						
Nebraska	◆	◆					
Nevada	◆	◆	◆				
New Hampshire	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		
New Jersey	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
New Mexico	◆	◆		◆		◆	
New York	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
North Carolina	◆	◆	◆				
North Dakota	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		
Ohio	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
Oklahoma	◆	◆	◆	◆			
Oregon	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆

Appendix 1

Pennsylvania	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
Rhode Island		◆	◆				
South Carolina	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
South Dakota	◆				◆		
Tennessee	◆	◆	◆			◆	
Texas	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Utah	◆	◆	◆	◆		◆	
Vermont							
Virginia	◆	◆	◆	◆			
Washington	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
West Virginia	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	
Wisconsin	◆	◆				◆	
Wyoming	◆	◆					

Note: An activity is indicated for a state if it was reported at all within the state's probation services. Inclusion may not mean that the activity is conducted throughout the state.

Source: American Correctional Association. (1998). Probation and Parole Directory 1998-2000. Lanham, MD: Author.

Table 6

**PARTICIPATION OF ADULT PROBATIONERS IN
SPECIAL SUPERVISION AND OTHER PROGRAMS**

Program	Percentage of Probationers Participating
Any special supervision or program	61.2%
Intensive supervision	10.1%
Other special supervision	5.2%
Detention center/confinement	0.1%
Boot camp	0.7%
Electronic monitoring	3.5%
House arrest without electronic monitoring	1.2%
Community Service	1.1%
Drug Testing	32.3%
Alcohol or drug treatment	37.1%
Other treatment	11.4%
Day	5.3%
Residential	4.9%
Sex offender	2.7%
Counseling	11.6%
Psychological/psychiatric	8.1%
Family	2.9%
Life skills/parenting	1.4%
Victim impact panel	0.4%
Other counseling	0.6%
Education	7.0%
Basic education/equivalency program	5.2%
Vocation/job training	2.5%
Other	0.4%
(Total Number of Probationers)	2,545,594

Source: Bureau of Justice Statistics. (1998). *Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics -- 1998*. Washington, DC: Bureau of Justice Statistics, U. S. Department of Justice.

Table 7

TREATMENT AND REFERRAL SERVICES PROVIDED IN ADULT PROBATION

	Community Referrals	Substance Abuse Treatment	Contract Counseling	Job Development Program	DWI Education
Alabama	◆				
Alaska	◆	◆			
Arizona	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Arkansas	◆	◆	◆		
California	◆	◆		◆	◆
Colorado	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Connecticut	◆				
Delaware		◆	◆		
Florida	◆		◆	◆	
Georgia	◆	◆		◆	
Hawaii	◆	◆			
Idaho	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Illinois	◆				◆
Indiana	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Iowa	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Kansas	◆	◆	◆		◆
Kentucky		◆			
Louisiana	◆				
Maine	◆		◆		
Maryland	◆		◆		
Massachusetts	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Michigan	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Minnesota	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Mississippi					
Missouri	◆			◆	
Montana					
Nebraska					◆
Nevada	◆				
New Hampshire	◆	◆	◆		
New Jersey	◆		◆	◆	
New Mexico	◆	◆	◆	◆	
New York	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
North Carolina	◆				
North Dakota	◆				
Ohio	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Oklahoma	◆	◆			◆
Oregon	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Pennsylvania	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆

Appendix 1

Rhode Island					
South Carolina	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
South Dakota	◆				
Tennessee	◆			◆	
Texas	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
Utah	◆	◆	◆	◆	
Vermont					
Virginia		◆	◆		
Washington	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆
West Virginia	◆	◆			
Wisconsin	◆	◆	◆	◆	
Wyoming					

Note: An activity is indicated for a state if it was reported at all within the state's probation services. Inclusion may not mean that the activity is conducted throughout the state.

Source: American Correctional Association. (1998). Probation and Parole Directory 1998-2000. Lanham, MD: Author.

Table 8

MONETARY COLLECTIONS IN ADULT PROBATION

	Collection of Restitution	Collection of Fees	Collection of Fines
Alabama	◆	◆	◆
Alaska			
Arizona	◆	◆	◆
Arkansas	◆	◆	◆
California	◆	◆	◆
Colorado	◆	◆	◆
Connecticut	◆		
Delaware			
Florida	◆	◆	◆
Georgia	◆	◆	◆
Hawaii	◆	◆	◆
Idaho	◆	◆	
Illinois	◆	◆	◆
Indiana	◆	◆	◆
Iowa	◆	◆	◆
Kansas	◆	◆	◆
Kentucky	◆	◆	◆
Louisiana	◆	◆	◆
Maine	◆	◆	◆
Maryland	◆	◆	◆
Massachusetts	◆	◆	◆
Michigan	◆	◆	◆
Minnesota	◆	◆	◆
Mississippi	◆	◆	◆
Missouri	◆		
Montana			
Nebraska	◆		
Nevada	◆	◆	
New Hampshire	◆	◆	◆
New Jersey	◆		
New Mexico	◆	◆	◆
New York	◆	◆	◆
North Carolina			
North Dakota	◆	◆	◆
Ohio	◆	◆	◆
Oklahoma	◆	◆	◆
Oregon	◆	◆	◆
Pennsylvania	◆	◆	◆
Rhode Island	◆	◆	
	◆	◆	◆

Appendix 1

South Carolina			
South Dakota	◆	◆	◆
Tennessee		◆	◆
Texas	◆	◆	◆
Utah	◆	◆	◆
Vermont			
Virginia			
Washington	◆	◆	◆
West Virginia	◆	◆	◆
Wisconsin	◆	◆	◆
Wyoming	◆		

Note: An activity is indicated for a state if it was reported at all within the state's probation services. Inclusion may not mean that the activity is conducted throughout the state.

Source: American Correctional Association. (1998). Probation and Parole Directory 1998-2000. Lanham, MD: Author.

Table 9

**VICTIMS SERVICES IN
ADULT PROBATION**

Alabama	
Alaska	◆
Arizona	◆
Arkansas	
California	◆
Colorado	◆
Connecticut	
Delaware	
Florida	◆
Georgia	◆
Hawaii	◆
Idaho	◆
Illinois	◆
Indiana	◆
Iowa	◆
Kansas	
Kentucky	
Louisiana	
Maine	
Maryland	
Massachusetts	◆
Michigan	◆
Minnesota	◆
Mississippi	◆
Missouri	◆
Montana	
Nebraska	
Nevada	
New Hampshire	
New Jersey	
New Mexico	
New York	◆
North Carolina	◆
North Dakota	◆
Ohio	◆
Oklahoma	
Oregon	◆
Pennsylvania	◆
Rhode Island	
South Carolina	◆

Appendix 1

South Dakota	◆
Tennessee	◆
Texas	◆
Utah	◆
Vermont	
Virginia	◆
Washington	◆
West Virginia	◆
Wisconsin	◆
Wyoming	
<p>Note: An activity is indicated for a state if it was reported at all within the state's probation services. Inclusion may not mean that the activity is conducted throughout the state.</p>	
<p>Source: American Correctional Association. (1998). Probation and Parole Directory 1998-2000. Lanham, MD: Author.</p>	

Table 10

FUNDING FOR ADULT PROBATION

	State Government	Local Government	Offender Fees
Alabama	◆		◆
Alaska	◆		
Arizona	◆	◆	◆
Arkansas	◆		◆
California		◆	◆*
Colorado	◆	◆	
Connecticut	◆		
Delaware	◆		
Florida	◆		
Georgia	◆		
Hawaii	◆		
Idaho	◆		
Illinois	◆	◆	◆
Indiana		◆	◆
Iowa	◆		◆
Kansas	◆	◆	
Kentucky	◆		
Louisiana	◆		◆
Maine	◆		
Maryland	◆		
Massachusetts	◆		
Michigan	◆		◆
Minnesota	◆*	◆*	◆
Mississippi	◆		◆
Missouri	◆		
Montana	◆		
Nebraska	◆	◆	
Nevada	◆		◆
New Hampshire	◆		
New Jersey	◆	◆	
New Mexico	◆		◆
New York	◆	◆	◆*
North Carolina	◆		
North Dakota	◆		◆
Ohio	◆*	◆*	◆*
Oklahoma	◆		◆
Oregon	◆		
Pennsylvania	◆	◆*	
Rhode Island	◆		
	◆		◆

Appendix 1

South Carolina			
South Dakota	◆	◆	
Tennessee	◆		◆
Texas	◆	◆	◆
Utah	◆		◆
Vermont	◆		◆
Virginia	◆		
Washington	◆		◆
West Virginia	◆	◆	
Wisconsin	◆		◆
Wyoming	◆		◆

* Indicates a revenue source for some, but not all, jurisdictions in the state.

Source: Krauth, B., & Linke, L. (1999). *State organizational structures for delivering adult probation services*. Longmont, CO: NIC Information Center, National Institute of Corrections, U. S. Department of Justice. Page 8

Table 11

AVERAGE CASELOADS FOR ADULT PROBATION

	Regular	Intensive	Electronic	Special
Alabama*	143	22	4	
Alaska*	72	60		
Arizona	60	24		
Arkansas*	154			
California	Missing Data			
Colorado	150	20	22	
Connecticut	256			
Delaware*	149	48		
Florida	Missing Data			
Georgia	202	40		40
Hawaii	172	26	4	
Idaho*	70	28	35	32
Illinois	135	15		40
Indiana	Missing Data			
Iowa	Missing Data			
Kansas	64			
Kentucky*	84	44		25
Louisiana*	105			
Maine*	135			
Maryland*	98			
Massachusetts	Missing Data			
Michigan	91			
Minnesota	Missing Data			
Mississippi*	125			
Missouri	Missing Data			
Montana*	85	12	12	
Nebraska	105	24		
Nevada*	75	30	30	
New Hampshire*	70	30	2	60
New Jersey	148	20		
New Mexico*	72	20	20	
New York	Missing Data			
North Carolina	Missing Data			
North Dakota*	94	25		
Ohio*	51			45
Oklahoma*	80			
Oregon	Missing Data			
Pennsylvania*	61			
Rhode Island	352	51		24

Appendix 1

South Carolina*	118	118		
South Dakota	69	13		
Tennessee	98	25		
Texas		33		40
Utah	90			
Vermont	Missing Data			
Virginia*	75	32		
Washington*	102			
West Virginia	60			
Wisconsin*	51	25		
Wyoming	64	9		
National Average	93	30	21	34

* Denotes states with combined probation and parole caseloads.

Note: Data for 11 states (22%) are missing. Therefore, conclusions from these data should be drawn cautiously.

Source: Camp, C. G., & Camp, G. M. (1999). *The Corrections Yearbook 1999: Adult Corrections*. Middletown, CT: Criminal Justice Institute, Inc.

Table 13

ADULT PROBATIONERS BY SEX AND RACE

	Sex			Race					
	Total	Male	Female	Black	White	Asian/ PI	Nat. Am/ Nat. AK	Other	Hisp.*
Alabama	Missing Data								
Alaska	4,685	82.8%	17.2%	12.8%	55.8%	2.1%	27.3%	2.0%	2.0%
Arizona	35,918	81.0	19.0	8.5	85.0	0.9	5.6	0.0	26.9
Arkansas	33,583	72.6	27.4	37.1	61.0	0.1	0.2	1.5	1.5
California	350,000	Missing Data							
Colorado	35,720	77.0	23.0	12.7	80.1	0.8	0.9	5.5	15.5
Connecticut	57,510	86.0	14.0	Missing Data					
Delaware	18,911	79.0	21.0	45.4	50.8	0.2	0.1	3.5	3.5
Florida	126,341	76.8	23.2	34.0	64.3	0.4	0.0	1.3	8.8
Georgia	136,709	79.0	21.0	52.8	47.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Hawaii	5,781	82.7	17.3	4.7	28.4	51.9	0.0	15.0	0.0
Idaho	6,795	77.0	23.0	1.8	92.2	0.7	4.1	1.3	14.0
Illinois	89,699	79.2	20.8	32.8	55.0	0.4	0.1	11.7	10.8
Indiana	97,075	Missing Data							
Iowa	18,444	76.5	23.5	10.7	82.9	0.8	1.0	4.6	3.6
Kansas	16,160	76.0	24.0		70.0			30.0	
Kentucky	Missing Data								
Louisiana	33,082	Missing Data							
Maine	3,098	76.0	24.0	3.0	91.3	0.5	0.2	5.1	2.8
Maryland	78,061	82.0	18.0	57.5	41.6	0.4	0.1	0.4	0.0
Massachusetts	46,567	Missing Data							
Michigan	50,637	Missing Data							
Minnesota	11,589	79.7	20.3	2.5	86.7	0.1	7.4	3.3	6.4
Mississippi	12,760	77.7	21.1	58.5	39.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.5
Missouri	47,475	79.0	21.0	29.4	70.2	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0
Montana	Missing Data								
Nebraska	15,937	77.9	22.1	16.2	64.9	0.6	4.1	14.3	13.2
Nevada	10,370	73.0	27.0	22.0	74.0	0.5	1.0	2.5	12.4
New Hampshire	3,098	76.0	24.0	3.0	91.3	0.5	0.2	5.1	2.8
New Jersey	134,376	79.1	20.9	43.4	44.4	0.2	0.2	11.8	11.8
New Mexico	Missing Data								
New York	194,781	83.0	17.0	34.2	49.8	0.3	0.2	8.8	17.7
North Carolina	107,867	78.8	21.4	47.8	46.6	0.2	1.9	3.5	2.6
North Dakota	2,991	76.8	23.2	2.3	86.5	0.7	10.6	0.0	4.9
Ohio	14,231	76.2	23.8	18.5	76.7	0.0	0.0	4.8	1.9
Oklahoma	29,272	74.0	26.0	21.4	66.8	0.0	7.3	4.5	0.4
Oregon	18,470	74.8	25.2	6.4	87.4	0.9	1.3	3.9	3.9
Pennsylvania	3,701	82.1	17.9	30.5	65.4	0.1	0.1	3.9	3.2

Appendix 1

Rhode Island	14,172	Missing Data							
South Carolina	30,080	82.3	17.7	54.5	44.8	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.0
South Dakota	3,502	Missing Data							
Tennessee	30,646	79.4	20.6	39.8	58.5	0.3	0.1	1.3	1.3
Texas	276,644	76.6	23.4	18.5	48.0	0.0	0.0	33.6	32.6
Utah	9,899	77.9	22.1	3.3	87.4	1.8	2.9	4.6	9.4
Vermont	9,297	79.9	20.1	1.8	97.2	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0
Virginia	30,576	78.9	21.1	54.2	43.9	0.0	0.1	1.9	1.3
Washington	Missing Data								
West Virginia	6,500	Missing Data							
Wisconsin	50,953	Missing Data							
Wyoming	3,929	74.9	25.1	2.7	86.2	0.2	2.6	8.3	7.7
National Average		78.6	21.4	26.1	64.6	1.9	2.3	5.7	6.9
*Percentages total 100% without inclusion of Hispanic category.									
Source: Camp, C. G., & Camp, G. M. (1999). <i>The Corrections Yearbook 1999: Adult Corrections</i> . Middletown, CT: Criminal Justice Institute, Inc.									